[20th January 1964

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN: I want to submit.

Mr. SPEAKER: There cannot be any debate. The hon. Leader of the Opposition had stated his remarks and had made certain statements. I did not want to stop him at that stage.

SRI R. V. SWAMINATHAN: My point is quite different. . .

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. Member may bring a motion or raise it in any other form.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: I would like to draw the attention of the House to rule 81 of the Assembly Rules, which says "A Member may, with the permission of the Speaker, make a personal explanation although there is no question before the House, but in this case no debatable matter may be brought forward, and no debate shall arise".

Mr. SPEAKER: There is no use in debating on this, Anybody's talking is not going to belp. Unless the hon. Member brings in a motion, it cannot be discussed here. There is no use in wasting time over it. The hon. Member Sri Sankaran wanted to explain himself and therefore I allowed him to speak.

IV-ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS.

(1) ALLEGED POLICE LATHI-CHARE IN KANCHELPURAM.

MR. SPEAKER: I have received notice of an adjournment motion from the hon. Member Sri M. Karunanithi. He has referred to an alleged lathi-charge in Kancheepuram by the Police on the 19th instant. It is not clear under what circumstances the lathi-charge was resorted to. It is presumed that it is in the course of ordinary administration of law and order. In that case, this caunot come as an adjournment motion.

(2) VIOLATION OF THE PROHIBITION ACT BY A SUB-INSPECTOR OF POLICE.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon, Member Sri S. Madhavan has referred to the statement of a Sub-Inspector of Police, who has given a statement to the press, that Prohibition has failed and that he proposed to violate the Prohibition law. A statement of an individual cannot form the subject-matter of an adjournment motion. An adjournment motion should relate to a matter of recent occurrence, discussion on which cannot be postponed. The matter raised does not deserve any attention and it can never come as an adjournment motion.

V-PRIVILEGE MATTER.

ARREST OF SOME MEMBERS.

MR. SPEAKER: The hon. Member Sri S. Madhavan has given a notice of a matter of Privilege about the arrest of two hon. Members of this House. I have not received any intimation about it. I will take up this matter tomorrow.

20th January 1964]

திரு. செ. மாதவன்: ஆன் எ பாபின்ட் ஆப் எக்ஸ்ப்ளனேஷன் சார். என்னுடைய ஒத்திவைப்புத் தீர்மானத்திலே "டிக்ளரேஷன்" என்ற வார்த்தை போட்டிருக்கிறேன். இந்த சர்க்கார் அதிகாரி.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN: After the Hon. Speaker has given his ruling, the hon. Member cannot revert to the subject.

Mr. SPEAKER: Does the hon. Member Sri S. Madhavan want to refer to the adjournment motion regarding the arrest of the Sub-Inspector?

SRI S. MADHAVAN : Yes, Sir.

MR. SPEAKER: I will not allow it.

திரு. மு. கருணுநிதி: தலேவர் அவர்களே, திரு. மாதவன் கோடுத்திருக்கிற உரிமைப் பிரச்சோயிலே இந்த அவை அங்கத் தினாகள் சைது செய்யப்பட்டிருக்கிரூர்கள் என்று கூறியிருக் கிருர்கள். அதைப்பற்றி விவரம் தங்களுக்கு தெரிவிக்கப்பட வில்கேயா?

கனம் சபாநாயகர்: இன்னும் எனக்கு தகவல் வரவில்ஃலியன்று தான் சொன்னேன்

திரு. மு. கருணநிதி: அவர்கள் யார் யார் என்ற பெயர்க**ண**ச் சொல்ல முடியுமா?

கனம் சபாநாயகர் : அது கனம் அங்கத்தினருக்கு**த்** தெரிந்த**து** தானே.

VI-DISCUSSION ON THE GOVERNORS ADDRES'S-cont.

MR. SPEAKER: Some hon. Members have given notice of amendments to the Motion of Thanks. They may move the amendments now.

PULAVAR K. GOVINDAN : Sir, I move-

Add at the end the following :-

"but regret to note the failure of the Government to check rising pieces more effectively which causes disappointment to the poor and wage-earning classes in this State".

The amendment was duly seconded.

SRI A. K. SUBBIAH : Sir, I move-

Add at the end the following :-

"but regret to note that in the proposed scheme of procurement of rice and paddy by the Government, there is no mention about fixing of a fair price for procuring paddy or rice from agriculturists".

The amendment was duly seconded.